arXiv:1606.02789v1 [math.QA] 9 Jun 2016

Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps and Hopf algebroids associated with s-sets

Noriaki Kamiya* Youichi Shibukawa†

June 8, 2016

Abstract

An s-set is an algebraic generalization of the regular s-manifold introduced by Kowalski, one of the generalized symmetric spaces in differential geometry. We prove that suitable s-sets give birth to dynamical Yang-Baxter maps, set-theoretic solutions to a version of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation. As an application, Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories are constructed by means of these dynamical Yang-Baxter maps.

1 Introduction

The quantum Yang-Baxter equation [2, 3, 35, 36] is closely related to algebraic structures, for example, the quantum group [7, 12], the Hopf algebra [1, 21, 33], and the triple system [22]. Analogously, the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation [10, 11], a generalization of this equation, produces Hopf algebroids [4, 5, 6, 17, 18, 24, 25, 34]. In fact, Felder's dynamical R-matrix [10], a solution to the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, yields a Hopf algebroid called the elliptic quantum group [8] through the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan construction [9].

In a similar way, suitable dynamical Yang-Baxter maps [26, 28], set-theoretic solutions to a version of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter

*Center for Mathematical Sciences, University of Aizu, Aizuwakamatsu, Fukushima 9658580, Japan

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 0600810, Japan

Keywords: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps; Hopf algebroids; S-sets; Rigid tensor categories; Homogeneous pre-systems.

MSC2010: Primary 16T25, 18D10, 53C35, 81R50; Secondary 20N05, 20N10, 53C30.

equation (see Remark 2.5), produce Hopf algebroids [30, 31]. This Hopf algebroid implies two rigid tensor categories, one of which is a category consisting of finite-dimensional L-operators.

Our purpose is to find dynamical Yang-Baxter maps giving birth to Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories.

Several studies clarified how to construct the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps [19, 20, 26, 27, 29]. In [13], suitable homogeneous pre-systems produce the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. The homogeneous pre-system is a generalization of the homogeneous system [14] in differential geometry, an algebraic feature of the reductive homogeneous space with suitable conditions.

It is natural to try to relate geometric structures to the construction of the dynamical Yang-Baxter map that can provide with Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories.

Kowalski [15, Definition II.2] presented a notion of the regular s-manifold, a generalization of the symmetric space in the sense of [16]. The regular s-manifold is a C^∞-manifold M with a differentiable multiplication $M \times M \ni (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y \in M$ such that the maps $s_x : M \ni y \mapsto x \cdot y \in M$ satisfy the following:

(1) $s_x(x) = x$ for any $x \in M$;

(2) every map s_x is a diffeomorphism;

(3) $s_x \circ s_y = s_{s_x(y)} \circ s_x$ for any $x, y \in M$;

(4) for each $x \in M$, the tangent map $(s_x)_{*x} : T_x(M) \to T_x(M)$ has no fixed vector except the null vector.

The aim of this paper is to construct Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories by introducing a notion of the s-set (Definition 3.1), a generalization of the regular s-manifold from the algebraic point of view. In this construction, suitable s-sets give birth to the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps through the homogeneous pre-systems. This paper gives another way to relate the dynamical Yang-Baxter map to differential geometry.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief exposition of the homogeneous pre-system, the dynamical Yang-Baxter map, the Hopf algebroid, and the rigid tensor category [13, 30]. Section 3 discusses the construction of ternary operations by means of the s-sets. In Section 4, we apply the results of Section 3 to get the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps via the homogeneous pre-systems from suitable s-sets. The last section, Section 5, is devoted to the study of the Hopf algebroids associated with the above

dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. Each Hopf algebroid can produce the rigid tensor category consisting of finite-dimensional L-operators.

2 Summary of homogeneous pre-systems, dynamical Yang-Baxter maps, Hopf algebroids, and rigid tensor categories

In this section, we summarize without proofs the relevant material on homogeneous pre-systems, dynamical Yang-Baxter maps, Hopf algebroids, and rigid tensor categories [13, 30], to render this paper as self-contained as possible.

We first introduce quasigroups [23, 32].

Definition 2.1. A nonempty set Q with a binary operation $Q\times Q \ni (u,v) \mapsto uv \in Q$ is called a quasigroup, iff:

(1) for any $u, w \in Q$, there uniquely exists $v \in Q$ such that $uv = w$;

(2) for any $v, w \in Q$, there uniquely exists $u \in Q$ such that $uv = w$.

That is to say, the left and the right translations on the quasigroup are both bijective. On account of this fact, we define the map $\backslash : Q \times Q \to Q$ by

$$v = u\backslash w \Leftrightarrow uv = w \quad (u, v, w \in Q). \tag{2.1}$$

Any group is a quasigroup; on the other hand, the quasigroup is not always associative.

Example 2.2 ([30])**.** Let $Q_5 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We define a binary operation on this set Q_5 by Table 1. Here $0\,4 = 0$. Each element of Q_5 appears once and only once in each row and in each column of Table 1, and this set Q_5 is hence a quasigroup [23, Theorem I.1.3]. The binary operation on Q_5 is not associative, because $(12)3 = 1 \neq 4 = 1(23)$.

Definition 2.3. A pair (S, η) of a nonempty set S and a ternary operation $\eta : S \times S \times S \to S$ is called a homogeneous pre-system [13], iff the ternary operation η satisfies: for any $x, y, u, v, w \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(x, y, x) = y; \\ &\eta(x, y, \eta(u, v, w)) = \eta(\eta(x, y, u), \eta(x, y, v), \eta(x, y, w)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Table 1: The binary operation on Q_5 [30].

	0	1	2	3	4
0	4	3	2	1	0
1	3	1	0	2	4
2	0	2	3	4	1
3	1	0	4	3	2
4	2	4	1	0	3

This homogeneous pre-system (S, η) satisfying

$$\eta(x, y, z) = \eta(w, \eta(x, y, w), z) \quad (\forall x, y, z, w \in S), \tag{2.3}$$

together with a suitable quasigroup, can produce a dynamical Yang-Baxter map [13]. Let H and X be nonempty sets with a map $H \times X \ni (\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x \in H$.

Definition 2.4. A map $\sigma(\lambda) : X \times X \to X \times X$ $(\lambda \in H)$ is a dynamical Yang-Baxter map, iff $\sigma(\lambda)$ satisfies a version of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation

$$\sigma_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)})\sigma_{23}(\lambda)\sigma_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)}) = \sigma_{23}(\lambda)\sigma_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)})\sigma_{23}(\lambda) \quad (\forall \lambda \in H). \tag{2.4}$$

Here, the maps $\sigma_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)}), \sigma_{23}(\lambda) : X \times X \times X \to X \times X \times X$ are defined by

$$\sigma_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)})(x, y, z) = (\sigma(\lambda z)(x, y), z); \sigma_{23}(\lambda)(x, y, z) = (x, \sigma(\lambda)(y, z)).$$

Remark 2.5. For a map $\sigma(\lambda) : X \times X \to X \times X$ $(\lambda \in H)$, we set $R(\lambda)(x, y) := \sigma(\lambda)(y, x)$ $(\lambda \in H, x, y \in X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) the map $\sigma(\lambda)$ satisfies (2.4);

(2) the map $R(\lambda)$ satisfies

$$R_{23}(\lambda)R_{13}(\lambda X^{(2)})R_{12}(\lambda) = R_{12}(\lambda X^{(3)})R_{13}(\lambda)R_{23}(\lambda X^{(1)}) \tag{2.5}$$

for any $\lambda \in H$ [26, (2.1)].

Throughout this paper, both (2.4) and (2.5) are called versions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (see also Remark 2.9).

Let (S, η) be a homogeneous pre-system satisfying (2.3), and let Q be a quasigroup, isomorphic to S as sets. We denote by $\pi : Q \to S$ the (set-theoretic) bijection that gives this isomorphism. We define the ternary operation μ on S by

$$\mu(a, b, c) = \eta(b, a, c) \quad (a, b, c \in S). \tag{2.6}$$

Proposition 2.6. *The ternary operation μ satisfies*:

$$\mu(a, \mu(a, b, c), \mu(\mu(a, b, c), c, d)) = \mu(a, b, \mu(b, c, d)) \quad (\forall a, b, c, d \in S);$$
$$\mu(\mu(a, b, c), c, d) = \mu(\mu(a, b, \mu(b, c, d)), \mu(b, c, d), d) \quad (\forall a, b, c, d \in S).$$

For $\lambda \in Q$, we define the map $\sigma(\lambda) : Q \times Q \to Q \times Q$ by

$$\sigma(\lambda)(u, v) = (h(\lambda, v, u)\backslash((\lambda v)u), \lambda\backslash h(\lambda, v, u)).$$

Here, $h(\lambda, v, u) = \pi^{-1}(\mu(\pi(\lambda), \pi(\lambda v), \pi((\lambda v)u)))$ $(\lambda, u, v \in Q)$ and see (2.1) for $h(\lambda, v, u)\backslash((\lambda v)u)$. Proposition 2.6 implies (2.4), and we have the following as a result.

Proposition 2.7. *The map $\sigma(\lambda)$ is a dynamical Yang-Baxter map.*

Furthermore, we assume that this dynamical Yang-Baxter map $\sigma(\lambda)$ satisfies:

(1) the set S is finite (and so is the set Q);

(2) for any $b, c, d \in S$, there uniquely exists $a \in S$ such that

$$\mu(a, b, c) = d; \tag{2.7}$$

(3) for any $a, c, d \in S$, there exists a unique solution $b \in S$ to (2.7);

(4) for any $a, b, d \in S$, there exists a unique solution $c \in S$ to (2.7).

This dynamical Yang-Baxter map $\sigma(\lambda)$ produces the Hopf algebroid A_σ [30, Sections 3 and 4]. We will briefly describe it as below.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an arbitrary field, and let M_Q denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of all $\mathbb{K}$-valued maps on the set Q. We define a map $T_a : M_Q \to M_Q$ $(a \in Q)$ by

$$T_a(f)(\lambda) = f(\lambda a) \quad (f \in M_Q, \lambda, a \in Q). \tag{2.8}$$

Let $L_{ab}, (L^{-1})_{ab}$ $(a, b \in Q)$ be indeterminates. We define the set AQ by

$$AQ := (M_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M_Q) \bigsqcup \{L_{ab} | a, b, \in Q\} \bigsqcup \{(L^{-1})_{ab} | a, b, \in Q\}.$$

A_σ is the quotient of the free $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle AQ\rangle$ on the set AQ by two-sided ideal I_σ whose generators are:

(1) $\xi+\xi'-(\xi+\xi')$, $c\xi-(c\xi)$, $\xi\xi'-(\xi\xi')$ $(c\in\mathbb{K},\xi,\xi'\in M_Q\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}M_Q)$.

Here the symbol $+$ in $\xi+\xi'$ means the addition in the algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle AQ\rangle$, while the symbol $+$ in $(\xi+\xi')(\in AQ)$ is the addition in the algebra $M_Q\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}M_Q$. The notations of the scalar products and products in the other generators are similar.

(2) $\sum_{c\in Q}L_{ac}(L^{-1})_{cb}-\delta_{ab}\emptyset$, $\sum_{c\in Q}(L^{-1})_{ac}L_{cb}-\delta_{ab}\emptyset$ $(a,b\in Q)$.

Here δ_{ab} denotes Kronecker's delta symbol.

(3) $(T_a(f)\otimes 1_{M_Q})L_{ab}-L_{ab}(f\otimes 1_{M_Q})$,
$(1_{M_Q}\otimes T_b(f))L_{ab}-L_{ab}(1_{M_Q}\otimes f)$,
$(f\otimes 1_{M_Q})(L^{-1})_{ab}-(L^{-1})_{ab}(T_b(f)\otimes 1_{M_Q})$,
$(1_{M_Q}\otimes f)(L^{-1})_{ab}-(L^{-1})_{ab}(1_{M_Q}\otimes T_a(f))$ $(f\in M_Q,a,b\in Q)$.

Here 1_{M_Q} defined by $1_{M_Q}(\lambda)=1$ $(\lambda\in Q)$ is the unit of M_Q (for T_a, see (2.8)).

(4) $\sum_{x,y\in Q}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\otimes 1_{M_Q})L_{yd}L_{xb}-\sum_{x,y\in Q}(1_{M_Q}\otimes\sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax}$ $(a,b,c,d\in Q)$.

Here $\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\in M_Q$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{ac}^{xy}(\lambda)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } \sigma(\lambda)(x,y)=(a,c);\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(5) $\emptyset-1_{M_Q}\otimes 1_{M_Q}$.

Proposition 2.8. *This algebra A_σ is a Hopf algebroid.*

For details of the Hopf algebroid including its definition, see [4, 5].

This Hopf algebroid A_σ produces the rigid tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$ consisting of finite-dimensional L-operators associated with the dynamical Yang-Baxter map σ [30, 31]. For the definition of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$, we need a tensor category $\mathcal{V}_G$. Here, G is the opposite group of the group of all permutations on the set Q.

An object of the category $\mathcal{V}_G$ is a G-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V=\oplus_{\alpha\in G}V_\alpha$; and its morphism $f:V\to W$ is a map $f:Q\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V,W)$ satisfying

$$f(\lambda)V_\alpha\subset\bigoplus_{\beta\in G,\beta(\lambda)=\alpha(\lambda)}W_\beta$$

for any $\lambda \in Q$, where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, W)$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space of $\mathbb{K}$-linear maps from V to W. In addition, the composition fg of morphisms f and g is defined by $(fg)(\lambda) := f(\lambda) \circ g(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in Q)$.

$\mathcal{V}_G$ is a tensor category. The tensor product $V \otimes W$ of objects $V = \oplus_{\beta \in G} V_\beta$ and $W \oplus_{\gamma \in G} W_\gamma$ is $V \otimes W = \oplus_{\alpha \in G} (V \otimes W)_\alpha$, where $(V \otimes W)_\alpha := \oplus_{\beta,\gamma \in G, \alpha = \gamma\beta} V_\beta \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W_\gamma$. Here, $\gamma\beta (\in G)$ is the multiplication of γ and β in the group G. In addition, the tensor product $f \otimes g$ of morphisms $f : U \to V$ and $g : W \to Y$ is a map $(f \otimes g)(\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} (\sum_{\beta,\gamma \in G, \alpha = \gamma\beta} (f \otimes g)(\lambda)_{\beta,\gamma})$ $(\lambda \in Q)$. Here, $(f \otimes g)(\lambda)_{\beta,\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(U_\beta \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W_\gamma, V \otimes Y)$ is defined by

$$(f \otimes g)(\lambda)_{\beta,\gamma}(u_\beta \otimes w_\gamma) := f(\gamma(\lambda))(u_\beta) \otimes g(\lambda)(w_\gamma) \quad (u_\beta \in U_\beta, w_\gamma \in W_\gamma).$$

The unit I is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathbb{K} = \oplus_{\alpha \in G} I_\alpha$ with

$$I_\alpha = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1_G (= \mathrm{id}_Q); \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The left and right unit constraints with respect to this unit I are defined by $l_V(\lambda) = \oplus_{\alpha \in G} l_V(\lambda)_\alpha$ and $r_V(\lambda) = \oplus_{\alpha \in G} r_V(\lambda)_\alpha$ $(\lambda \in Q)$. Here,

$$l_V(\lambda)_\alpha : (I \otimes V)_\alpha = I_{1_G} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V_\alpha (= \mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V_\alpha) \ni a \otimes v \mapsto av \in V_\alpha \subset V;$$
$$r_V(\lambda)_\alpha : (V \otimes I)_\alpha = V_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} I_{1_G} (= V_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}) \ni v \otimes a \mapsto av \in V_\alpha \subset V.$$

We are now in a position to construct the rigid tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$. For $a \in Q$, we define $\deg(a) \in G$ by $\deg(a)(\lambda) := \lambda a$ $(\lambda \in Q)$. This definition is unambiguous, because Q is a quasigroup. Let $\mathbb{K}Q$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with the basis Q. This $\mathbb{K}Q$ is an object of $\mathcal{V}_G$, since $\mathbb{K}Q = \oplus_{\alpha \in G} (\mathbb{K}Q)_\alpha$ and

$$(\mathbb{K}Q)_\alpha = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}a (\cong \mathbb{K}), & \text{if } \exists a \in G \text{ such that } \alpha = \deg(a); \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is well defined on account of the definition of the quasigroup. We can regard the map $\sigma(\lambda) : Q \times Q \to Q \times Q$ $(\lambda \in Q)$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map on $\mathbb{K}Q \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}Q$, and this $\sigma : \mathbb{K}Q \otimes \mathbb{K}Q \to \mathbb{K}Q \otimes \mathbb{K}Q$ is a morphism of the category $\mathcal{V}_G$.

Remark 2.9. A version of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (2.4) for the dynamical Yang-Baxter map $\sigma(\lambda)$ is exactly the same as the braid relation in the category $\mathcal{V}_G$ [30, Proposition 4.5].

The object of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$ is a pair of an object $V \in \mathcal{V}_G$ of finite dimensions and an isomorphism $L_V : V \otimes \mathbb{K}Q \to \mathbb{K}Q \otimes V$ of $\mathcal{V}_G$ satisfying

$$(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q} \otimes L_V)(L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q}) = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q} \otimes L_V)(L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q})(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma).$$

The morphism $f : (V, L_V) \to (W, L_W)$ is a morphism $f : V \to W$ of $\mathcal{V}_G$ such that $(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q} \otimes f)L_V = L_W(f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}Q})$.

The tensor product $(V, L_V)\boxtimes(W, L_W)$ is $(V \otimes W, (L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)(\mathrm{id}_V \otimes L_W))$, and the tensor product of morphisms is exactly the same as that of $\mathcal{V}_G$: $f \boxtimes g := f \otimes g$. The unit is $(I, r_{\mathbb{K}Q}^{-1} l_{\mathbb{K}Q})$.

From [30, Section 5], we have the following.

Proposition 2.10. $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$ *is a rigid tensor category.*

3 Ternary operations from s-sets

Let M be a non-empty set and $s_x : M \to M$ a bijection for each $x \in M$. An s-set $(M, \{s_x\}_{x\in M})$ is a generalization of the regular s-manifold [15] (see Introduction) in the generalized symmetric spaces from the algebraic point of view.

Definition 3.1. A pair $(M, \{s_x\}_{x\in M})$ is an s-set, iff the bijections s_x satisfy

$$s_x \circ s_y = s_{s_x(y)} \circ s_x \tag{3.1}$$

for any $x, y \in M$.

For a simple example, we note that any group G makes an s-set $(G, \{s_x\})$. Here, the bijection s_x $(x \in G)$ is defined by $s_x(y) = xyx^{-1}$ $(y \in G)$.

In this section, we will show that every s-set $(M, \{s_x\})$ can produce ternary operations η on the set M satisfying (2.2).

Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ $(l \geq 1)$, and we write

$$w_I(X, Y) = \begin{cases} X^{i_1} Y^{i_2} \cdots X^{i_{l-1}} Y^{i_l}, & \text{if } l \text{ is even;} \\ X^{i_1} Y^{i_2} \cdots Y^{i_{l-1}} X^{i_l}, & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $w_I(X, Y)$ is an element of the quotient of the free algebra on the set $\{X, X^{-1}, Y, Y^{-1}\}$ by the two-sided ideal whose generators are $XX^{-1} - 1, X^{-1}X - 1, YY^{-1} - 1$, and $Y^{-1}Y - 1$.

Let η_I denote the ternary operation on an s-set $(M, \{s_x\})$ defined by

$$\eta_I(x, y, z) = w_I(s_x, s_y)(z) \quad (x, y, z \in M). \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.2. *The ternary operation* $\eta := \eta_I$ *satisfies* (2.2).

Proof. The proof is by induction on the length $l(w_I) := |i_1| + |i_2| + \cdots + |i_l|$ of the word $w_I(X, Y)$.

If $l(w_I) = 0$, then w_I is an empty word, and $\eta_I(x, y, z) = w_I(s_x, s_y)(z) = z$ as a result. An easy computation shows (2.2).

If $l(w_I) = 1$, then $w_I(X, Y) = X, X^{-1}, Y, Y^{-1}$. We give the proof only for the case that $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}$. Because $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}$, $\eta_I(x, y, z) = s_x^{-1}(z)$. By substituting $s_x^{-1}(u)$ into y in (3.1),

$$s_x s_{s_x^{-1}(u)} = s_u s_x, \tag{3.3}$$

and consequently, the right-hand-side of (2.2) is $s_x^{-1} s_u^{-1}(w)$, which is exactly the left-hand-side of (2.2).

If $l(w_I) \geq 2$, then there exists a word $w'(X, Y)$ whose length is less than $l(w_I)$ such that $w_I(X, Y) = Xw'(X, Y)$, or $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}w'(X, Y)$, or $w_I(X, Y) = Yw'(X, Y)$, or $w_I(X, Y) = Y^{-1}w'(X, Y)$. For example, if $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-3}Y^2XY^8$, then we set $w'(X, Y) = X^{-2}Y^2XY^8$, which satisfies $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}w'(X, Y)$.

We prove only for the case that $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}w'(X, Y)$. Since $w_I(X, Y) = X^{-1}w'(X, Y)$, $\eta_I(x, y, z) = s_x^{-1}w'(s_x, s_y)(z)$, and the right-hand-side of (2.2) is

$$s^{-1}_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} w'(s_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)}, s_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(v)}) s_x^{-1} w'(s_x, s_y)(w). \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting $w'(s_x, s_y)(u)$ into u in (3.3) gives

$$s_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} = s_x^{-1} s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} s_x, \tag{3.5}$$

and, in the same manner, we can see that $s_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(v)} = s_x^{-1} s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(v)} s_x$. Now (3.4) becomes

$$s^{-1}_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} w'(s_x^{-1} s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} s_x, s_x^{-1} s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(v)} s_x) s_x^{-1} w'(s_x, s_y)(w). \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X, Y, Z, X^{-1}, Y^{-1}, Z^{-1}$ be indeterminates satisfying*

$$XX^{-1} = X^{-1}X = YY^{-1} = Y^{-1}Y = ZZ^{-1} = Z^{-1}Z = 1,$$

and let $w(X, Y)$ be a word of X, X^{-1}, Y, and Y^{-1}. Then $w(ZXZ^{-1}, ZYZ^{-1}) = Zw(X, Y)Z^{-1}$. Here, we regard $w(X, Y)$ as an element of the quotient of the free algebra on the set $\{X, X^{-1}, Y, Y^{-1}, Z, Z^{-1}\}$ by the two-sided ideal whose generators are $XX^{-1} - 1$, $X^{-1}X - 1$, $YY^{-1} - 1$, $Y^{-1}Y - 1$, $ZZ^{-1} - 1$, and $Z^{-1}Z - 1$.

The proof of this lemma is obvious, because $(ZX^{\pm 1}Z^{-1})^i = ZX^{\pm i}Z^{-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On account of this lemma, (3.6) is

$$s^{-1}_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} s_x^{-1} w'(s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)}, s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(v)}) w'(s_x, s_y)(w). \tag{3.7}$$

We define the ternary operation η' on M by $\eta'(x,y,z) = w'(s_x, s_y)(z)$ $(x, y, z \in M)$. Because of the fact that

$$w'(s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)}, s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(v)}) w'(s_x, s_y)(w) = \eta'(\eta'(x,y,u), \eta'(x,y,v), \eta'(x,y,w)),$$

the induction hypothesis, and (3.5), (3.7) is

$$\begin{aligned} & s^{-1}_{s_x^{-1}w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} s_x^{-1} \eta'(x, y, \eta'(u, v, w)) \\ =& s_x^{-1} s^{-1}_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} w'(s_x, s_y) w'(s_u, s_v)(w). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We will prove the following claim later.

Claim 3.4. *For any $x, y, u \in M$,*

$$s_{w'(s_x,s_y)(u)} \circ w'(s_x, s_y) = w'(s_x, s_y) \circ s_u. \tag{3.9}$$

By virtue of this claim, the right-hand-side of (3.8) is exactly the same as the left-hand-side of (2.2), which is the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Claim 3.4. The proof is similar to that of the theorem; we will prove it by induction on the length $l(w')$ of the word $w'(X, Y)$.

The proof of the cases that $l(w') = 0, 1$ is obvious.

If $l(w') \geq 2$, then there exists a word $w''(X, Y)$ whose length is less than $l(w')$ such that $w'(X, Y) = Xw''(X, Y)$, or $w'(X, Y) = X^{-1}w''(X, Y)$, or $w'(X, Y) = Yw''(X, Y)$, or $w'(X, Y) = Y^{-1}w''(X, Y)$. We prove only for the case $w'(X, Y) = X^{-1}w''(X, Y)$. Since $w'(X, Y) = X^{-1}w''(X, Y)$, the left-hand-side of (3.9) is

$$s_{s_x^{-1}w''(s_x,s_y)(u)} s_x^{-1} w''(s_x, s_y). \tag{3.10}$$

By substituting $w''(s_x, s_y)(u)$ into u in (3.3), (3.10) is $s_x^{-1} s_{w''(s_x,s_y)(u)} w''(s_x, s_y)$. By the induction hypothesis, this is $s_x^{-1} w''(s_x, s_y) s_u = w'(s_x, s_y) s_u$, which is exactly the right-hand-side of (3.9). This establishes the formula. □

4 Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps from s-sets

This section is devoted to the construction of the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps (Definition 2.4) via the homogeneous pre-systems (Definition 2.3) by means of suitable s-sets (Definition 3.1).

Let R be a ring with the unit $1(\neq 0)$, M a left R-module, and r an invertible element of the ring R. We define $s_x : M \to M$ $(x \in M)$ by

$$s_x(y) = (1-r)x + ry \quad (y \in M).$$

Proposition 4.1. *$(M, \{s_x\})$ is an s-set.*

In fact, the inverse of the map s_x is

$$s_x^{-1}(y) = (1-r^{-1})x + r^{-1}y,$$

and it is a simple matter to show (3.1).

Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ $(l \geq 2)$. We denote by $\Phi_I(X)$ the following polynomial of the variables X and X^{-1}.

$$\Phi_I(X) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l} (-1)^j X^{\sum_{m=1}^{j} i_m}, & \text{if } l \text{ is even;} \\ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^j X^{\sum_{m=1}^{j} i_m}, & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Proposition 4.2. *$\eta_I(x,y,z) = (\Phi_I(r) - r^d)x + (1 - \Phi_I(r))y + r^d z$ for any $x, y, z \in M$. Here, $\eta_I(x,y,z)$ is defined in (3.2) and $d := i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_l$.*

Proof. The proof of the proposition is by induction on l. For the proof of the $l = 2$ case, we need

Lemma 4.3. *For any integer i,*

$$s_x^i(z) = (1-r^i)x + r^i z \quad (\forall x, z \in M).$$

As a corollary of this lemma,

$$s_x^i s_y^j(z) = (1-r^i)x + (r^i - r^{i+j})y + r^{i+j}z \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y, z \in M),$$

which immediately induces the $l = 2$ case. The rest of the proof is straightforward. □

As a corollary, we find

Corollary 4.4. *If the invertible element $r \in R$ satisfies that $\Phi_I(r) = 0$ in R, then (M, η) is a homogeneous pre-system (see Definition 2.3) satisfying (2.3).*

The proof is obvious, since

$$\eta_I(x,y,z) = -r^d x + y + r^d z \quad (x,y,z \in M), \tag{4.2}$$

if $\Phi_I(r) = 0$.

Example 4.5. Let $k(\geq 2)$ be a positive integer, and let $r(\in \mathbb{C})$ be a primitive k-th root of unity. We denote by Φ_k the cyclotomic polynomial of level k. If $k = 6$, then $\Phi_I(X) := \Phi_6(X) = 1 - X + X^2$ satisfies (4.1) ($I = (1,1)$). Because $\Phi_I(r) = 0$, any $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V produces a homogeneous pre-system satisfying (2.3) on account of Corollary 4.4.

If $k = 10, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40$, then any primitive k-th root r of unity can also give birth to a homogeneous pre-system satisfying (2.3).

Remark 4.6. The s-set $(V, \{s_x\})$ for any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V in the above example is a regular s-manifold of order k (see [15, Definition II.43]). Here, we regard V as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of $2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ dimensions.

Example 4.7. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. The invertible element $r := 2 \in R$ is a root of $\Phi_{(2,1)}(X) = 1 - X^2 + X^3$, and hence r with any (left) R-module M gives a homogeneous pre-system satisfying (2.3) according to Corollary 4.4. In fact, $\eta_{(2,1)}(x,y,z) = -3x + y + 3z$. In a similar fashion, the invertible element $3 \in R$ also provides with such a homogeneous pre-system, because 3 is a root of $\Phi_{(2,-1)}(X) = 1 - X^2 + X$.

Example 4.8. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. The invertible element $r := 2 \in R$ is also a root of $\Phi_{(2,1,1)}(X) = \Phi_{(2,1)}(X) = 1 - X^2 + X^3$, and hence r with any (left) R-module M gives a homogeneous pre-system satisfying (2.3) according to Corollary 4.4. In this case, $\eta_{(2,1,1)}(x,y,z) = -x + y + z$, because $r^d = r^4 = 1$ in R. On account of (2.6), this $\eta_{(2,1,1)}$ yields the ternary operation μ in [30, (4.13)].

Let Q be a quasigroup (Definition 2.1), isomorphic to the set M as sets. If the element $r(\in R)$ satisfies $\Phi_I(r) = 0$, then it follows from Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 4.4 that this s-set $(M, \{s_x\})$ with the quasigroup Q gives birth to the dynamical Yang-Baxter map $\sigma(\lambda)$ (Definition 2.4).

5 Hopf algebroids from finite s-sets and rigid tensor categories

Let R be a ring with the unit $1(\neq 0)$, M a left R-module, $r(\in R)$ an invertible element satisfying $\Phi_I(r) = 0$ (for Φ_I, see (4.1)), and Q a quasigroup, isomorphic to the set M as sets (see Definition 2.1).

In this last section, we will construct Hopf algebroids by means of the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps $\sigma(\lambda)$ in Section 4. In order to define the Hopf algebroid, we restrict our attention to the case that M is finite and that $|M| > 1$ (it follows that $|Q| > 1$). We remark that $R = \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ in Examples 4.7 and 4.8 is a field and that any (left) R-module M is an R-vector space. Hence, each $M(\neq \{0\})$ in Examples 4.7 and 4.8 of finite dimensions is finite and satisfies $|M| > 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *The ternary operation μ (2.6) on M satisfies*:

(1) *for any $b, c, d \in M$, there exists a unique solution $a \in M$ to* (2.7);

(2) *for any $a, c, d \in M$, there exists a unique solution $b \in M$ to* (2.7);

(3) *for any $a, b, d \in M$, there exists a unique solution $c \in M$ to* (2.7).

The proof is clear from (4.2) and the fact that the element r is invertible in the ring R.

By virtue of Proposition 2.8, we have the following.

Theorem 5.2. *A_σ is a Hopf algebroid.*

The homogeneous pre-system $\eta_{(2,1,1)}$ in Example 4.8 yields a Hopf algebroid A_σ in [30].

Furthermore, we have the following from Proposition 2.10.

Theorem 5.3. $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$ *is a rigid tensor category.*

In view of Proposition 5.1 (2) (see [30, Proposition 4.5]), $(\mathbb{K}Q, \sigma) \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$, and this object is not the unit of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$, because $|Q| > 1$. The rigid tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{V}_G}(\sigma)_f$ is hence non-trivial (see [31, Remark 3.9]).

Acknowledgments

The second author was supported in part by KAKENHI (26400031).

References

[1] E. Abe: Hopf algebras. Translated from the Japanese by Hisae Kinoshita and Hiroko Tanaka. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **74**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.

[2] R. J. Baxter: Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model. Ann. Physics **70** 1972 193–228.

[3] R. J. Baxter: Solvable eight-vertex model on an arbitrary planar lattice. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **289** (1978), no. 1359, 315–346.

[4] G. Böhm: An alternative notion of Hopf algebroid. Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics, 31–53, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **239**, Dekker, New York, 2005.

[5] G. Böhm and K. Szlachányi: Hopf algebroids with bijective antipodes: axioms, integrals, and duals. J. Algebra **274** (2004), no. 2, 708–750.

[6] B. Day and R. Street: Quantum categories, star autonomy, and quantum groupoids. Galois theory, Hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories, 187–225, Fields Inst. Commun., **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[7] V. G. Drinfel'd: Quantum groups. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[8] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko: Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups. Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), no. 3, 591–640.

[9] L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, and A. Takhtajan: Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Algebraic Analysis, 129–139, Academic, Boston, 1989.

[10] G. Felder: Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), 1247–1255, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[11] J.-L. Gervais and A. Neveu: Novel triangle relation and absence of tachyons in Liouville string field theory. Nuclear Phys. B **238** (1984), no. 1, 125–141.

[12] M. Jimbo: A q-difference analogue of $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation. Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), no. 1, 63–69.

[13] N. Kamiya and Y. Shibukawa: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps associated with homogeneous pre-systems. J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **5** (2011), Art. ID G110106, 9 pp.

[14] M. Kikkawa: On homogeneous systems. I. Mem. Fac. Lit. Sci. Shimane Univ. Natur. Sci. No. **11** (1977), 9–17.

[15] O. Kowalski: Generalized symmetric spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 805. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

[16] O. Loos: Symmetric spaces. I: General theory. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1969.

[17] J.-H. Lu: Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids. Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), no. 1, 47–70.

[18] G. Maltsiniotis: Groupoïdes quantiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **314** (1992), no. 4, 249–252.

[19] D. K. Matsumoto: Dynamical braces and dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 2, 195–206.

[20] D. K. Matsumoto and Y. Shibukawa: Quantum Yang-Baxter equation, braided semigroups, and dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. Tokyo J. Math. **38** (2015), no. 1, 227–237.

[21] S. Montgomery: Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **82**. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.

[22] S. Okubo and N. Kamiya: Quasi-classical Lie superalgebras and Lie supertriple systems. Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 8, 3825–3850.

[23] H. O. Pflugfelder: Quasigroups and loops: introduction. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 7. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[24] D. C. Ravenel: Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 121. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.

[25] P. Schauenburg: Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ($\times_R$-Hopf algebras). New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), 273–299, Contemp. Math., **267**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[26] Y. Shibukawa: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. Int. Math. Res. Not. **2005**, no. 36, 2199–2221.

[27] Y. Shibukawa: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps with an invariance condition. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), no. 4, 1157–1182.

[28] Y. Shibukawa: Survey on dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics, 239–244, K. Vlaam. Acad. Belgie Wet. Kunsten (KVAB), Brussels, 2010.

[29] Y. Shibukawa: Dynamical braided monoids and dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. Quantum groups and quantum topology (Kyoto 2010), 80–89, RIMS Kôkyûroku **1714**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 2010.

[30] Y. Shibukawa: Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories associated with dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. J. Algebra **449** (2016), 408–445.

[31] Y. Shibukawa and M. Takeuchi: FRT construction for dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. 6, 1698–1728.

[32] J. D. H. Smith and A. B. Romanowska: Post-modern algebra. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.

[33] M. E. Sweedler: Hopf algebras. Mathematics Lecture Note Series W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1969.

[34] P. Xu: Quantum groupoids. Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), no. 3, 539–581.

[35] Yang, C. N. Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** 1967 1312–1315.

[36] Yang, C. N. S matrix for the one-dimensional N-body problem with repulsive or attractive δ-function. Phys. Rev. **168** 1968 1920–1923.